Subject Code	CBS2904					
Subject Title	Academic and Technical Chinese Writing					
Credit Value	3					
Level	2					
Pre-requisite/Co-requisite/ Exclusion	Nil					
Objectives	This subject aims at training students to master (1) high accuracy with variations in Chinese expressions, (2) effective applications of cognitive methods in presenting contents and thought relationships, and (3) the format and some basic technical devices for writing and presenting an academic paper.					
Intended Learning Outcomes	Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to:					
	Category A: Professional/academic knowledge and skills					
	 (a) control over basic mechanics and grammatical accuracy in the flow of expression; (b) integrate facts, ideas, concepts from background sources in a coherence manner; (c) manipulate information in different formats such as quotations, paraphrases, summaries, and references for various purposes; 					
	(d) produce Chinese text with good arguments that are logically ordered, coherently related, in clear expressions, and understandable to many;					
	Category B: Attributes for all-roundedness					
	 (e) develop an ever-growing competence in academic writing which focuses on active intellectualization; (f) think inductively and impose order on data or information by using cognitive skills such as categorization, equivalence, contrast and causality; 					
Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus	The contents of the syllabus include two major domains: academic discipline, technical devices for presentation of academic papers. In addition to the basic language, and the format and information structure of academic paper, the syllabus will also treat the embedded cognitive activities such as classification, generalization and specifics, comparison and contrast, cause and effect, cycles and chain reactions, analogy, prediction, definition, hypothesis, as well as the competence of using basic statistical analysis and graphic presentation for analyzing and presenting data.					

	1. Academic :	1.1		ation: hypothesis, reasons, and coherence					
		1.2		z / questionnaire design for data					esign,
		1.3	Power point and the floor						
	2. Technical :	2.1	Worksheet						data
		2.2	Statistical						
		2.3	Graphic reporting						n for
Teaching/Learning Methodology	The training of each task will take a collaborative approach to teaching and learning that integrates aspects of linguistic accuracy, cognitive activity, and writing process in the training. As writing process is a recursive one, emphasis should be put on evaluating and re-viewing as the drafting develops.								
Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes	Specific asse methods/tasks	ssment	% weighting	J &					
				a	b	c	d	e	f
	1. Term Paper		60%	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
	2. Oral presentation		20%				\checkmark	\checkmark	
	3. In-class exer	cises	20%	\checkmark		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
	Total		100 %		1	•	•	L	
	Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methassessing the intended learning outcomes: The subject will be assessed by the final product of a term written in academic format (60%), and one presentation (20 communication to laymen or for publicity. For each assignment, there is a set of criteria for assessment which incl the creativity of topic, (2) the reliability of data/materi reference, (3) the cognitive strategies employed in text structu (4) accuracy of expression. In-class participation of discussic classroom exercises will also be counted (20%).							term n (20% ach c inclu ateria ructur	paper 6) for of the de (1) ls for e, and

Student Study Effort	Class contact:					
Expected	Lecture	26 Hrs.				
	Tutorial	13 Hrs.				
	Other student study effort:					
	Outside class practice	3 x 13 = 39 Hrs.				
	 Self-study 	$3 \times 13 = 39$ Hrs.				
	Total student study effort	117 Hrs.				
Reading List and References	Total student study effort 117 Hrs. Lawrence, M. S. 1975. Writing as a thinking process. And MI: The University of Michigan Press. Numan, D. 1992. Collaborative language learning and to Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. White, R. and Arndt, V. 1997. Process Writing. MA: Addison Longman Ltd. Russell, D. R. 2002 Writing in the academic disciple curriculum history. Carbondale and Edwardsville Illinois University Press. Beer, D. F. (ed.) 2003 Writing and speaking in the tect professions (2 nd edition). New Jersey: John Wi Sons, INC. Breing E 主編 (1982)《寫作教程》上海: 華東師範大學出 司有和 (1984) 《科技寫作簡明教程》合肥: 安徽教育出 葉聖陶 呂叔湘 朱德熙 林燾 (1992) 《文章講評》北京: 版社 桂詩春 寧春岩 (1997) 《語言學方法論》北京: 外語教 究出版社 王昭正、朱瑞淵譯(1999)《調查研究方法》台北: 弘智文 有限公司 邢福義 汪國勝 主編 (2003)《現代漢語》武漢: 華中師範 版社 [日]原田泰著,許郁文譯(2012)《思考圖解力》台灣: 旗標出版 限公司 孟森(2012)《論文寫作方法與格式》臺灣: 威仕曼文化事業股代 司					

[Syllabus prepared by Dr Wing-sat CHAN, Dr Chi-kan CHEUNG]